NATIONAL TRIAL COMPETITION OFFICIAL SCORING BALLOT

Plaintiff Team Number:		v.	Defense Team Number:	
Round:			Courtroom:	
<u>Points for</u> <u>Plaintiff</u>	Scoring Category (no scored portion can be waived)		<u>Points for</u> <u>Defense</u>	
of 15	<i>Opening Statement</i> – 15 Points Possible		of 15	
of 15	Direct of Plaintiff Witness # 1 (15 Points Possible for Plaintiff only)			N/A
N/A	Cross-examination of Plaintiff Witness # 1 (15 Points Possible for Defense only)		of 15	
of 15	Direct of Plaintiff Witness # 2 (15 Points Possible for Plaintiff only)			N/A
N/A	Cross-examination of Plaintiff Witness # 2 (15 Points Possible for Defense only)		of 15	
N/A	Direct of Defense Witness # 1 (15 Points Possible for Defense only)			of 15
of 15	Cross-examination of Defense Witness # 1 (15 Points Possible for Plaintiff only)			N/A
N/A	Direct of Defense Witness # 2 (15 Points Possible for Defense only)			of 15
of 15	Cross-examination of Defense Witness # 2 (Total of 15 Points Possible for Plaintiff only)			N/A
of 15	Closing Argument – 15 Points Possible			of 15
of 10	Evidentiary Objections & Responses (10 Points Possible)			of 10
of 100	TOTAL POINTS (TOTAL OF 100 POINTS POSSIBLE FOR EACH TEAM)			of 100
Plaintiff	Winning Team – Circle One (Ties are <u>not</u> permitted.)			Defense
Judge's Signature				Date:

NATIONAL TRIAL COMPETITION NTC SCORING CRITERIA

Opening Statement (Total of 15 points possible - one advocate will present opening for each team)

- 1. Generally confined to outline of evidence to be presented?
- 2. Clearly and persuasively presented theory of case?
- 3. Personalized himself/herself and client?
- 4. Made appropriate objections/responses, if any?

Outstanding
Very good
Solid – More good than bad
Mediocre – About what you
would expect from a law
student
More bad than good
Extremely poor

<u>Direct Examination</u> (Total of 15 points possible - each advocate will conduct the direct examination of one witness)

- 1. Questions generated minimum number of valid objections?
- 2. Made or failed to make objections with tactical or substantive merit?
- 3. Appropriately responded to objections made?
- 4. Appropriate general attitude and rapport with jury?
- 5. Testimony developed in interesting and coherent fashion?
- 6. Listened to and followed up on witness answers?
- 7. Showed strength and weakness of witness in most favorable light?

Cross Examination (Total of 15 points possible - each advocate will cross-examine one witness)

- 1. Questions generated minimum number of valid objections?
- 2. Made or failed to make objections with tactical or substantive merit?
- 3. Appropriately responded to objections made?
- 4. Used leading questions appropriately and advantageously?
- 5. Listened to and followed up on witness answers to elicit helpful testimony?
- 6. Used impeachment opportunities and demonstrated same effectively?
- 7. Did not develop a cross examination based on facts not contained in the problem?

<u>Closing Argument</u> (Total of 15 points possible - one advocate will present closing for each team)

- 1. Presented a cohesive theory of the case and dealt effectively with the weaknesses?
- 2. Effectively called attention to opponent's flaws?
- 3. Presented persuasive argument?
- 4. Utilized effective style and law?
- 5. Inappropriately interrupted argument of opposing counsel?
- 6. Properly confined rebuttal to rebuttal materials?
- 7. Effectively countered opponent's argument in rebuttal?

NATIONAL TRIAL COMPETITION

Evidentiary Objections & Responses (Total of 10 points possible per team)

Overall, consider the evidentiary objections made – valid, tactical, and/or substantiative – by a party and/or a party's appropriate response to valid, tactical, and/or substantive objections.

Ruling irrelevant:

Do not take into consideration whether the objection was sustained or overruled.

10	Outstanding
8-9	Very good
6-7	Solid – More good than bad
4-5	Mediocre – About what you
	would expect from a law
	student
2-3	More bad than good
0-1	Extremely poor